

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,680	10/18/2005	Yutaka Matsuoka	043210	8336
38834 WESTERMAI	7590 11/24/201 N, HATTORI, DANIEL		EXAM	TINER
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			KASHNIKOW, ERIK	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/524,680	MATSUOKA E	T AL.
Review		Art Unit	
Review	Erik Kashnikow	1782	
-	-		
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re	quest for Review filed 22 Octo	bber 2010.	
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is reason(s):</li> </ol>	is improper and a conference	will not be held for th	ne following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not beel☐ The request does not include reas☐ A proposed amendment is include☐ Other:	sons why a review is appropria	ite.	est.
The time period for filing a response continuous the mail date of the last Office communication.			Appeal or from
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appea held. The application remains under appea is required to submit an appeal brief in act brief will be reset to be one month from me running from the receipt of the notice of a appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1 of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	al because there is at least or cordance with 37 CFR 41.37. ailing this decision, or the bala ppeal, whichever is greater. Fi	e actual issue for ap The time period for f ance of the two-mont arther, the time perion	ppeal. Applicant iling an appeal th time period od for filing of th
<ul> <li>☑ The panel has determined the strocking allowed:</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,6-13.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration</li> </ul>	.,	vs:	
Allowable application – A conferer Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on tapplicant at this time.			
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is			a new Office
All participants:			

(3)Erik Kashnikow.

(4)\_\_\_\_\_

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1782

(1) Rena L. Dye.

(2) William Krynski.